(To be completed by County evaluation committee)
(100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)
The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the
County, based on price and other factors considered.

RFP-16-030 - Appraisal Services - Land Preservation - Steven W. Bartelt

EVALUATION FACTORS  Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		SCORE
<b>A.</b>	Technical Proposal contains all required information  All required documentation submitted.  5 points	5
В.	Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of Personnel to be assigned.	24
C.	Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed	25
D.	Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability  25 points.  Plan meets all technical requirements. Gloucester County office indicates good accessibility, ability to deliver services in a timely fashion, etc.	24
Е.	Reasonableness of Cost Proposal  20 points.  Tied for 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest of respondents at \$2,600.	19
	TOTALS	97

(To be completed by County evaluation committee)

(100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)

The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the County, based on price and other factors considered.

RFP-16-030 - Appraisal Services - Land Preservation - Molinari & Associates

EVALUATION FACTORS  Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		SCORE
<b>A.</b>	Technical Proposal contains all required information  All required documentation submitted.	5
	5 points	
В.	Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of  Personnel to be assigned.	24
C.	Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed  25 points.  Vendor indicates preservation/engineering/special projects with County in the proposal.	25
D.	Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability  25 points.  Plan meets requirements. Gloucester County office indicates good accessibility, ability to deliver services in a timely fashion, etc. Some revisions have been required on recent projects.	22
E.	Reasonableness of Cost Proposal  20 points.  Lowest of respondents at \$2,200.	20
	TOTALS	96

(To be completed by County evaluation committee)
(100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)
The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the
County, based on price and other factors considered.

RFP-16-030 - Appraisal Services - Land Preservation - T W Sheehan

EVALUATION FACTORS Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		SCORE
<b>A.</b>	Technical Proposal contains all required information All required documentation submitted.	5
В.	Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of Personnel to be assigned.	24
C.	Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed  25 points.  Vendor indicates preservation or engineering or special projects with County in the proposal.	24
D.	Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability  25 points.  Plan meets requirements. South Jersey office indicates good accessibility, ability to deliver services in a timely fashion, etc.	23
Е.	Reasonableness of Cost Proposal	19
	TOTALS	95

(To be completed by County evaluation committee)
(100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)
The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the
County, based on price and other factors considered.

RFP-16-030 - Appraisal Services - Land Preservation - Mark Hanson, MAI

EVALUATION FACTORS  Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		SCORE
A.	Technical Proposal contains all required information  All required documentation submitted.  5 points	5
В.	Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of Personnel to be assigned.	24
C.	Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed  25 points.  Vendor indicates preservation or engineering or special projects with County in the proposal.	24
D.	Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability  25 points.  Plan meets requirements. South Jersey office indicates adequate accessibility, ability to deliver services in a timely fashion, etc.	23
Е.	Reasonableness of Cost Proposal  20 points.  Tied for 3rd lowest of respondents at \$3,000.	18
	TOTALS	94

(To be completed by County evaluation committee)
(100 Point total will be used to determine the Award)
The County will select the vendor deemed most advantageous to the
County, based on price and other factors considered.

RFP-16-030 - Appraisal Services - Land Preservation - R W Frankenfield

EVALUATION FACTORS  Points awarded will be based on the information contained in the technical proposal, any supplemental information obtained and information gathered during the interview, if one is conducted.		SCORE
A.	Technical Proposal contains all required information  All required documentation submitted.  5 points	5
В.	Relevance and Extent of Qualifications, Experience, and Training of Personnel to be assigned.	24
C.	Relevance and Extent of Similar Engagements performed  25 points.  Vendor indicates preservation or engineering or special projects with County in the proposal.	24
D.	Plan for performing engagement is realistic, thorough, and demonstrates knowledge of requirements and personnel availability  25 points.  Plan meets requirements. South Jersey office indicates adequate accessibility, ability to deliver services in a timely fashion, etc.	23
E.	Reasonableness of Cost Proposal  20 points.  Tied for 3rd lowest of respondents at \$3,000.	18
	TOTALS	94